## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

10

11

12

13

1*4* 

16<sup>.</sup>

1*7* 

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

02/03/2000 STEF|RRA 00000004 09074474

02 FC:126

240.00 OP

Art Unit : 2752

Examiner : Kim-Kwok CHU

January 25, 2000

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

SINGLE OBJECTIVE LENS

FOR USE WITH CD OR DVD

In re application of

BARRY G. BROOME, ET AL

Serial No. 09/074,474

OPTICAL DISKS

Filed May 7, 1998\_

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Sir:

For

The prior art being submitted herewith was located in a PCT International Search in a PCT application No. PCT/US99/09897 based upon this U.S. application. The Search Report was completed on July 30, 1999. This Information Disclosure Statement is accompanied by the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) in the amount of \$240.

1. The three-page International Search Report mailed August 20, 1999 is enclosed. The Search Report indicates that most of the art cited is category "A" and, therefore, background prior art. The background prior art is not submitted in this filing. However, four references are cited and noted to be category "X" documents with respect to independent claims 1 and 6 as well as to claims 10 and 11. Applicants respectfully submit

that the claims as amended patentably distinguish over those four references for reasons stated below.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 2. EPO patent application No. EP 0 838 812 A2, owned by Konica Corporation, does <u>not</u> use diffractives. Rather the patent teaches the use of a stepped surface which utilizes multiple refraction to get normal resolution. The steps in the surface are not small enough to achieve diffraction and the text at page 6, lines 3-13, clearly indicates that the stepped surfaces are only providing refraction. The patent does not suggest or teach the use of diffraction.
- 3. The second and third references cited in the International Search Report, namely Japanese patent JP 09 179020 A (Asahi Optical Co Ltd), and U.S. patent 5,838,496 to Maruyama et al, are corresponding applications and the applicants accordingly not translated the Japanese patent. The Maruyama patent (as well as the corresponding Japanese patent) teaches the use of one relatively expensive 650 nm laser. Since the patent teaches only the use of a single laser, the lens described in the patent simply does not have to deal with the problem of spherochromatism. The problem of spherochromatism arises when two or more lasers of different wavelength are utilized with a single objective lens in reading disks having different substrate thicknesses, all as disclosed in detail in applicants' pending U.S. application.
- 4. European patent application No. EP 0 844 606 Al owned by Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. does teach the use

of a lens with central and outer zones, as shown in Fig. 2A. However, this patent does <u>not</u> teach or suggest the use of a diffractive. Similarly, the patent does not discuss the problem of spherochromatism or a way of correcting spherochromatism.

We enclose Form PTO/SB/08A.

Respectfully submitted,

Βv

Bruce H. Johnsynbaugh

Reg. No. 24,982

Attorney for applicants

ECKHOFF, HOPPE, SLICK, MITCHELL & ANDERSON Four Embarcadero Center #760 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone (415) 391-7160 8998.106

> > Date